

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/493,741

Applicant(s)

LEBL, MICHAL

Examiner

William H. Beisner

Art Unit

1744

All Participants:(1) William H. Beisner.(2) Victor E. Johnson, Reg. No. 41,546.**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 9 March 2004**Time:** 1:00pm**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

All of record.


Claims discussed:

All pending and proposed claims.

Prior art documents discussed:

*Genese et al. (US 3,712,535)***Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Johnson was informed that the after final response dated 19 Feb. 2004 was non-compliant because claims 1-26 were not listed with the other claims. The Examiner and Mr. Johnson also discussed potential claim language to clearly define independent claim 39 over the reference of Genese et al. It was agreed to change "fixed" to "permanently fixed". Mr. Johnson will file a second after final response that addresses the non-compliance issues and includes the changes to claim 39..